

CHANTILLY MODEL UNITED  
NATIONS PRESENTS

# Money Heist Bankers

---

*CHMUN XXI*

*Crisis Simulation*

[chantillymun.org](http://chantillymun.org)

@chantillymun

## **Committee Background:**

Welcome to CHMUN XXI's Money Heist: The Resistance v The United Korean Task Force JCC (The United Korean Task Force) Committee! In this committee, you are not standard police or soldiers; you are the first line of defense for a risky and historical future. Under the command of Director-General Minji Park, you will contain, negotiate, and ultimately take down the Resistance. The Resistance has taken a handful of hostages after occupying the Unified Korea Mint and is printing billions of Won currency, which threatens the trade and stability of the Joint Economic Area (JEA).

It is 2026. The reunification process between North and South Korea has entered its strongest stage, with the concurrent currency being passed in the JEA. This has now amplified economic disparities and, more importantly, civil unrest. Many working-class individuals feel that this moment of unity has betrayed them and now incite anger and resentment toward the government. These frustrations have crystallized into the most significant challenge for a reunified state, the Resistance's money heist.

Enter the United Korean Task Force. Made up of the most elite operatives from both Koreas, this joint task force was created to protect not only the new economic order but to show that cooperation on both sides of the 38th parallel is possible. Each of you was selected for your loyalty, your tactical abilities, and your political truthworthiness. Your mission extends beyond saving hostages and preventing a robbery; it is also to safeguard the integrity of the reunification project itself. A single crack in the foundation could destroy the public's already tenuous belief in this new chapter of Korean history.

This task is more than a mission, though. The Task Force must deal with the political divides, media attention, and civilian safety while engaging with a cunning enemy who does not think of themselves as criminals, but as revolutionaries. The Resistance will fracture public sentiment and exploit divisions within your command against you. The world is watching, and each action you take

CHMUN XXI 2



since you agreed to this task will define how history remembers reunification, whether it will be seen as a success or a failure.

Time is running out. The Resistance has acted. Now, it is time for action from you. Your unity may well be the Republic's best defense or its deadly vulnerability. The choice is up to you.

**Current Date (day of conference, 2026), or approximately an hour after the break-in of the United Korea Mint.**



## Topic A: Crisis Containment and Tactical Response Strategy

The first task of the committee will be to ensure that the neutralization of the Resistance at the Unified Korean Mint is performed precisely and with minimum collateral damage. This is not a typical hostage rescue or armed raid. This is a prolonged siege against an opponent who frames themselves as revolutionaries rather than criminals.

The Unified Korean Mint rests within the Joint Economic Area (JEA), which is one of the most militarized and politically sensitive areas on the planet. An attack without precise planning can have devastating deaths, broadcast on international TV and live news, and potential interference regarding reunification itself. Therefore, the Task Force must create a layered staged response approach capable of tactical military force, negotiation, and psychological operations. Opportunities exist for slow strategic containment to soften and wear down the Resistance, operational access through planned infiltration, or precision strike operations designed to eliminate the Resistance leadership with the least amount of risk to hostages.



Simultaneously, the Task Force needs to be extremely vigilant about misinformation and manipulation by the Resistance. The mastermind behind the heist has already demonstrated high-level media capability that is able to manipulate public perception at will. To be sure, a leak of Task Force activities, or a video depicting an operational foul-up, or rumors suggesting an internal “authority crisis” could have devastating consequences. Maintaining operational secrecy and the chain of command will be absolutely critical to maintaining operational integrity and not collapsing from within. To mitigate these risks, committee input will also be required to develop an overarching tactical security plan, establishing secure

communications from the field units to the Director-General, implementing tests of loyalty and surveillance of Task Force members, developing controlled media strategies to counter Resistance propaganda, and proposing contingency initiatives in the event negotiations fail.

Crisis containment is only half of the struggle. The next half is making sure this moment is viewed as a moment of lawfulness and order rather than a moment of oppressive state action. If a hostage killing or mismanaged raid dominates the narrative, the Resistance is operationally successful in their revolutionary theater even if they are tactically unsuccessful in the Mint. So, narrative control is every bit as important as tactical control. We will need to conduct selective press briefings, psychological operations to discredit the Resistance leadership, and symbolic blips of national unity before the public will be able to see the Taskforce as protectors of Korea's tenuous reunification rather than aggressors.

Questions to consider:

1. What is the most effective way to contain the Unified Korea Mint and prevent the Resistance from escaping, while accounting for tunnels, disguises, and potential smuggling operations?
2. How should the Task Force structure its units, including negotiation teams, tactical response squads, intelligence operatives, and surveillance cells, to ensure maximum efficiency and adaptability under pressure?
3. What internal safeguards should be implemented to detect and prevent leaks, infiltration, or sabotage within Task Force ranks, especially given the possibility of Resistance sympathizers or foreign agents?



4. How can committee members secure the logistical, technological, and political resources necessary to maintain long-term containment while ensuring that operations remain secret and unified across both Korean governments?
5. Which outside individuals, organizations, or international allies can the Task Force safely coordinate with to strengthen containment efforts, whether through intelligence sharing, media influence, or diplomatic support?



## Topic B: Hostage Management and Media Narrative



As the United Korean Mint remains under siege, the Task Force is now engaged in a psychological and political battle as much as a tactical one. Dozens of hostages in the Mint are trapped in one of the most politically laden institutions in the Joint Economic Area. For example, there is Kim So Ra, the daughter of a United States diplomat in

Seoul. The fact that a foreign national of her status is a hostage takes this crisis out of the realm of a domestic emergency and into that of international diplomacy.

The Task Force must tread lightly: we must do what we can to secure the safety of the hostages, but we also must be mindful of the political implications. Every choice could potentially carry ramifications. Consider that an unsuccessful hostage rescue attempt could kill civilians and deepen diplomatic fissures with our allies. More importantly, since there was a high-profile foreign national hostage, any third-party country (like the US) may use its influence to sway the Korean government away from us and limit our operational space. The mere fact that Kim So Ra is a national hostage is enough to give international human rights organizations and the media access to the situation.

The dilemmas now begin. Should the Task Force engage in direct negotiation with the Resistance on the matter of goodwill releases, or will this be interpreted as appeasement? Will foreign diplomats and governments be utilized for negotiations in some way, or would this action undermine sovereignty regarding the reunification project? Can the Task Force reassure the families of hostages and foreign governments without providing intelligence through direct, operational insights, which would undermine the Task Force's own interest?

In the meantime, the Resistance will try to get the injured hostages to exploit their identities, especially the American diplomat's daughter, for propaganda value. Whether through videos, forced statements, or employing the hostages in some sort of symbolic gestures, the Resistance could rehabilitate their character as sympathetic actors acting against tyranny. The committee must assess these potential moves and develop a plan of action to mitigate them, ensuring the global narrative is set within a context of law, order, and reunification, rather than chaos or oppression.

This is far more than a containment issue. It's a test of Korea's credibility as an international player. How the Task Force responds to the hostage crisis will determine whether reunification will be perceived as a new start for Korea or as an ill-fated venture plagued by incompetence and suspicion.

#### Questions to Consider:

1. What policies and strategies can the Task Force put into place to ensure the safety of hostages while preventing the Resistance from framing themselves as revolutionaries instead of criminals?
2. How will the Task Force maintain control over the situation to minimize the chances of hostage executions, propaganda exploitation, or international backlash?
3. What role do high-profile hostages, particularly Kim So Ra, play in shifting the narrative, and how should the Task Force account for potential foreign intervention if her life is endangered?
4. What media strategy should the Task Force pursue to counter Resistance propaganda, reassure the families of hostages, and present the operation as one of protection rather than aggression?
5. Should negotiations for limited hostage releases be pursued as a humanitarian gesture, or would such concessions embolden the Resistance and weaken the Task Force's leverage?

